## Comparison 3: Silver-impregnated vs. standard wound dressings

Quality assessment							№ of patients		Effect		
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Silver- containing	Standard dressings	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Quality
Surgical site infection											
4	RCTs	serious 1	not serious	not serious	very serious <sup>2</sup>	none	61/572 (10.7%)	70/472 (14.8%)	OR: 0.67 (0.34 to 1.30)	44 fewer per 1000 (from 36 more to 92 fewer)	OCC VERY LOW

<sup>1.</sup> Risk of performance bias, detection bias and other possible bias (4 of 4 RCTs: financial support, compensation or products given to research group from dressings manufacturer)

RCT: randomized controlled trial; CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio; RR: relative risk; RRR: relative risk reduction

<sup>2.</sup> Optimal information size not met and CI fails to exclude both appreciable benefit and harm (RR and RRR of 25%)