Comparison 4: Hydroactive vs. standard wound dressings

Quality assessment							№ of patients		Effect		
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Hydroactive	Standard dressings	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Quality
Surgical site infection											
1	RCTs	serious ¹	not serious	not serious	very serious ²	none	7/227 (3.1%)	6/243 (2.5%)	OR: 1.63 (0.57 to 4.66)	15 more per 1000 (from 10 fewer to 81 more)	VERY LOW

^{1.} Risk of detection bias

RCT: randomized controlled trial; CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio; RR: relative risk; RRR: relative risk reduction

^{2.} Optimal information size not met and CI fails to exclude both appreciable benefit and harm (RR and RRR of 25%)